BIOLOGICAL PRODUCTS IN ORGANIC AGRICULTURE

Aipova R., Abdykadyrova A.B., Kurmanbayev A.A.

National Center for Biotechnology 13/5, Korgalzhyn Hwy., Nur-Sultan 010000, Kazakhstan; rakhilya-73@mail.ru

The review presents data on the creation of complex microbial preparations and their application in agricultural practice. According to economists, the turnover in the field of organic agriculture is worth 85-90 billion US dollars a year. Developers of biological products pay great attention to the creation of complex biofertilizers, which contribute to a stable 20-25% increase in yield, with a significant reduction of plant damage by root rot. Among the considered positive effects of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on plants are the ability to fix molecular nitrogen from the atmosphere, the synthesis of hormonal and fungitoxic substances, and the mobilization of sparingly soluble soil phosphates. The presented data show promise for the use of these microorganisms in the development of eco-friendly farming technologies in order to increase plant productivity and establish biocontrol over the development of plant diseases, reduce the chemical load on the soil, and increase its fertility.

Key words: complex biological preparations, nitrogen-fixing, phosphate and potassium mobilizing microorganisms, bacterium, plant growth stimulation, wheat.

Прозрачность финансовой деятельности / Financial transparency Авторы не имеют финансовой заинтересованности в представленных материалах или методах. / The authors have no financial interest in the presented materials or methods.

Авторы благодарят рецензентов за их вклад в экспертную оценку этой работы / The authors thank the reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work

Дополнительная информация / Additional information

Полные данные этой статьи доступны / Extended data is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.30901/2658-6266-2019-4-04

Мнение журнала нейтрально к изложенным материалам, авторам и их месту работы / The journal's opinion is neutral to the presented materials, the author, and his or her employer

Все авторы одобрили рукопись / All authors approved the manuscript Конфликт интересов отсутствует / No conflict of interest

Благодарности / Acknowledgements:

The work was performed within the «Transfer and adaptation of technologies for precision farming in the crop production on the principle of demonstration farms (polygons)» program in the Akmola region for 2018-2020 «Creation of complex biological preparation for increasing productivity of grain crops in the conditions of Northern Kazakhstan» Ministry of the Agriculture RK BR 06349586.

БИОЛОГИЧЕСКИЕ ПРЕПАРАТЫ В ОРГАНИЧЕСКОМ ЗЕМЛЕДЕЛИИ

Аипова Р., Абдыкадырова А.Б., Курманбаев А.А.

Национальный центр биотехнологии 010000 Казахстан, г. Нур-Султан, Шоссе Коргалжын, 13/5; rakhilya-73@mail.ru

В обзоре рассмотрены данные о создании сложных микробных препаратов и возможности их использования в практике сельского хозяйства. Согласно данным экономистов, оборот средств в области органического, экологически чистого сельского хозяйства насчитывает 85-90 миллиардов долларов в год. Разработчики биологических препаратов придают большое значение созданию комплексных биоудобрений, которые стабильно повышают урожай на 20-25%, при этом значительно снижают уровень поражения растений корневой гнилью. Рассмотрен ряд положительных эффектов действия бактерий PGRP (ризобактерии, стимулирующие рост растений) на растения, в том числе способность бактерий фиксировать молекулярный азот из атмосферы, синтезировать гормональные вещества и соединения, токсичные для грибков растений, а также мобилизовать трудно растворимые фосфаты почвы. Представлены данные, которые свидетельствуют в пользу перспектив использования микроорганизмов в развитии технологий экологически чистого сельского хозяйства с целью повышения продуктивности растений и биоконтроля над развитием болезней растений, уменьшения химической нагрузки на почвы и увеличения плодородия почв.

Ключевые слова: комплексные биопрепараты, азотфиксирующий, фосфат и калиймобилизующие микроорганизмы, бактерия, стимуляция роста растений, пшеница.

Для цитирования:

Аипова Р., Абдыкадырова А.Б., Курманбаев А.А. Биологические препараты в органическом земледелии. *Биотехнология и селекция растений*. 2019;2(4):36-41. DOI: 10.30901/2658-6266-2019-4-04

For citation:

Aipova R., Abdykadyrova A.B., Kurmanbayev A.A. Biological products in organic agriculture. *Plant Biotechnology and Breeding*. 2019;2(4):36-41. (In Russ.) DOI: 10.30901/2658-6266-2019-4-04

УДК 631.147:632.3/.8:632.937:631.8 Поступила в редакцию: 19.08.2019 Принята к публикации: 03.12.2019

Introduction

Since the middle of the 20th century, and especially during the past 20 years agriculture in economically advanced countries has been focusing on the development of organic agriculture and the production of environmentally sound and full-fledged food. Organic agriculture is based on the reduction or complete prohibition of plants and soil treatment with synthetic mineral fertilizers, chemical protection agents, plant growth regulators, and genetically modified organisms. The management of organic agriculture is aimed at maximizing the use of biological products and biotechnologies at all stages and phases of agricultural production. The following international standards for the organic farm supply and marketing sectors have been adopted: "EC 834/2007 Council Regulation (EC) № 834/2007. On organic production and labeling of organic production and repealing regulation (EC) № 2092/91-2007" and "USDA organic". The international technological Organics platform was created in 2009 to amalgamate several international institutions like IFOAM (International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements), European organic certified council, Aoel, etc. The global market for organic agricultural produce is currently estimated at \$85 billion (Monastyrsky et al., 2019).

Organic practices in agriculture are currently used in 160 countries of the world. Organic agriculture laws work in 84 countries and, moreover, in dozens of countries such bills are drafted. Economists estimate that based on current gross turnover in organic agriculture, which amounts to \$85 to \$90 billion per year, this amount is projected to reach \$200-250 billion by 2020 (Development organic rural//farms in Kazakhstan, 2018). On November 27, 2015 the Law of the Republic of Kazakhstan "On production of organic products" was adopted. The Act established the legal, economic, social and organizational bases for the production of organic agriculture. This legislation is aimed at the rational use of the soil, promotion of healthy diets and protection of the environment (The Law..., 2015). Currently, Kazakhstan is in the process of adapting the international standards of Codex Alimentarius, as well as those of IFOAM, and is also using the international experience as an organic agricultural producer at the local level.

Kazakhstan has necessary prerequisites and great opportunities for the development of organic agriculture and livestock production: the "2050 Strategy" (The Strategy..., 2003) according to which Kazakhstan has to become a global player on the market of environmentally friendly products has been accepted, the Concept of transition of the Republic of Kazakhstan to "green" economy developed, and the "Agribusiness 2020" program, which specifies the conditions for the development of production and marketing of organic agricultural products, approved.

We already wrote in 1999 about the importance of developing biological agriculture in Kazakhstan. A special part of the monograph focused on biological products developed

with the use of a range of microorganisms either capable of fixing nitrogen, or involved in phosphate and potassium mobilizing activities, and able to stimulate plant growth or to suppress phytopathogenic effects of micromycetes and bacteria (Sadanov, Kurmanbayev, 1999).

In the Republic of Belarus, 75 % of the biological products produced by the Institute of Microbiology of the National Academy of Sciences of Belarus are bio-pesticides (Kolomiets, 2018, unpublished).

In the field of biological preparations development for agriculture, the All-Russian Research Institute for Agricultural Microbiology has exclusive experience. The Russian Federation successfully applies the achievements of the Institute's scientists, such as Rhizotorphine and Extrasol biological products. Albit, a biological product developed at the G.K. Skryabin Institute of Biochemistry and Physiology of Microorganisms in Pushchino, also has a considerable sales market.

In the CIS (Commonwealth of Independent States) countries, biological products for agriculture are also successfully developed. Noticeable success has been achieved in Ukraine and Uzbekistan.

Creation of complex biofertilizers

Developers of biological products assign greater importance to the creation of integrated biofertilizers. There are two ways of achieving this: either by selecting bacteria with different useful functions, or by searching for one organism with polyfunctional properties.

The theoretical basis for the development of complex biological preparations was already laid by N.A. Krasilnikov and A.I. Kornyako (1944) who showed that the effectiveness of legume bacteria depends in many ways on the condition of soil microbiocenosis. For instance, weakly virulent strains became highly virulent in the presence of activator bacteria, such as those from the genus *Pseudomonas*. On the contrary, bacteria inhibitors delayed the growth of nodule bacteria. It was established that combinations of nodule bacteria with activators ensured the greatest increase in plant yield, if compared to the use of each of those bacteria individually (Krasilnikov, Korenyako, 1944).

The development of complex biological products is a labour-consuming process, nevertheless, intensive research continues.

Microbiologists in Uzbekistan created a multi-component biological product of a very complex structure, namely a complex microbiological fertilizer (CMF), which is a uterine bacterial culture of aerobic, ammonifying and denitrifying bacteria in a nutrient medium. The CMF is based on the aerobic bacteria belonging to the genera *Bacillus, Azotobacter, Pseudomonas, Micrococcus, Pseudobacterium, Rhizobium.* These microorganisms are involved in the humification and mineral-

ization of organic residues. In addition, the CMF also includes anaerobic bacteria of the genera *Clostridium, Methanobacterium* and *Acetobacterium*. These are capable of decomposing almost insoluble organic compounds of phosphorus and have antagonistic activity in relation to phytopathogenic microorganisms. Also, the CMF comprises denitrification, ammonifying and lacto bacteria. Actinomycetes in this preparation are represented by *Streptomyces albus, Streptomyces griseus* and *Actinomyces elephantis*. The latter produce biologically active materials in the soil, synthesize vitamins B1, B3, B6, B12, inoses, PP, amino acids, glutamic acid, lysine, alanine, tryptophan and antibiotics. Microalgae were also included in the biopreparation (Patent. IAP 02430. Abduazizov, Baybaev, 2004).

The complex CMF product is very similar to the EM preparation by Teruo Higa ("Effective Microorganisms", Patent US5591634. Higa, 1997). CMF includes more than 80 cultures of microorganisms, microalgae, micromycetes, yeast, actinomycetes, etc., selected from fertile soil to improve the fertility of poor soils. EM consists of the following microorganisms: actinomycetes from the genera Streptomyces, Streptoverticillium, Nocardia, Micromonospora and Rhodococcus, phototrophic bacteria from the genera Rhodopseudomonas, Rhodospirillum, Chromatium and Chlorobium, lactic bacteria from the genera Lactobacillus, Propionibacterium, Pediococcus and Streptococcus, micromycetes from the genera Aspergillus and Mucor, as well as yeast from the genera Saccharomyces and Candida. In addition, T. Higa included phytopathogenic bacteria in the biopreparation to enhance the immune properties of plants (Higa, Parr, 1994; Patent US5591634. Higa, 1997).

Microbiologists from Taiwan developed a sophisticated biological product based on thermotolerant phosphate-mobilizing microorganisms. *Bacillus coagulans* C45, *Bacillus licheniformis* A3, *Bacillus smithii* F18, one actinomycete of *Streptomyces thermophilus* J57 and one micromycete of *Aspergillus fumigatus* O4 were part of it. All strains listed were selected from various composts. The biological product accelerated the transformation of various organic waste into the organic biofertilizer enriched with mobile phosphorus (Chang, Yang, 2009).

In practice, complex biofertilizers have been shown to contribute to a steady 25-50-plus % increase in yields of or more, as well as to a significant reduction in the incidence of root rot affecting plants (Tereshchenko, 2007). Along with that, the fungistatic effect is most often caused by the fact that soil biodiversity increases significantly due to the use of complex bacterial fertilizers, and the influence of undesirable populations decreases (e.g., populations of pathogenic fungi of plants). This allows plants to overcome their own limitations of the numerous constraints imposed by the Mitscherlich's Law of Diminishing Returns (Tereshchenko, 2007).

With intensive cropland farming, the situation with the multiplication of factors of limitation is quite common. In the same circumstances, even when the significance of each constraint is negligible, the overall effect of the marginal compensation of each factor can be very high. Thus, even when the efficacy of individual microbial populations is negligible, their combined effect can only be achieved by increasing biodiversity in the soil risosphere (Shchedrin, 2010).

Considering the problems related to plant seeds bacterization, like preservation of a high titre of bacteria depending on the preparation form, small shelf-life, processing of seeds in the shade, etc., and a lot of various conditions to be considered when using bacterial preparations, it has to be noted with regret that poor performance of those preps is natural, and their criticism is fair. The simplest statistical treatment of bacterization results generally demonstrates the random nature of rare positive reactions of soil and plants to bacterial fertilizers. The continued so called "introduction" of bacterial fertilizers in collective and individual farms will lead to a total undermining of the very idea of using microbial preparations (Tereshchenko, 2007).

Based on the above considerations, P.A. Kozhevin (2014) proposed to activate soil microbial cenosis through readily available carbon sources. The possibility of producing complex microbial fertilizers of different functional use based on natural microbial communities without growing microorganisms on a nutrient medium was shown. For this purpose, soil microbial cenosis was activated by introducing different sources of carbon and nitrogen. The activation of soil actinomycetes resulted in a pronounced effect in such a way that wheat growth increased by 65% compared to the control (Andreeva, Kozhevin, 2014).

Meta-analysis of the efficiency of microbial fertilizers based on a complex of microorganisms showed that the best inoculum for plants is a combination of arbuscular mycorrhiza, nitrogen fixators, and phosphate mobilizers. The effectiveness of such a complex was shown in 92% of 112 field experiments. Thus, the contribution to the yield is significant and variability is low (Schütz et al., 2018).

Without diminishing the scientific progress in many countries in this sphere, we believe that an individual approach to biological preparations should be applied in the same way as in medicine, i.e., local biological products have to be developed on the basis of native races of bacteria and micromycetes for a certain field and crops in this field adapted to soil climatic conditions of the particular region.

Northern Kazakhstan is one of the most economically important regions of agroindustrial complex of the Republic, since the production of spring common wheat is concentrated here. This agricultural crop is annually cultivated in the area of 8-10 million hectares and occupies about 80-85% of all acreage, and gross grain harvest averages 8-12 million tons (Shvidchenko et al., 1999). Thus, the spring-sown wheat is the most important crop for Kazakhstan. The Green Economy Development Concept of the Republic of Kazakhstan aims at significantly increasing the yield of this crop. Within the framework of efforts to achieve this goal, the importance of biofertilizers and bio-pesticides cannot be underestimated.

Biopesticides and symbiotic relations between bacteria and plants

A large number of works on microbial fertilizers for wheat are available in modern published scientific literature.

Tests of of Rizoagrin and Flavobacterin biological products on 'Dzhangal' winter wheat variety have shown their effectiveness in conditions of Saratov region. Rizoagrin has been developed on the basis of nitrogen fixing bacteria of *Agrobacterium radiobacter* (Beijerinck and van Delden, 1902) Conn 1942 [*Rhizobium radiobacter* (Beijerinck and van Delden, 1902) Young et al., 2001] having signs of bacteria of the PGPR group. Flavobakterin is a biopesticide based on *Flavobacterium* spp. The latter, as part of the biopreparation, produces an antibiotic flavocin that suppresses a wide range of phytopathological bacteria and fungi. The use of Rizoagrin ensured an increase in wheat yield of 0.41 t/ha (13.5%) in comparison with the untreated control, while application of Flavobakterin in combination with humates resulted in an increase of 17.1% (Chekmareva, Nesterova, 2018).

The Rizoagrin preparation used for the inoculation of seeds of spring-sown wheat in the conditions of the Udmurt Republic positively affected the grain productivity, mass of straw and plant residues. Its action can be equivalent to the influence of a dose of N₄₀P₄₀K₄₀ fertilizer that gives big economic and power effect to the studied intake. As a result, the abundance of root decay decreased by 17.5%. The increase of a grain yield averaged 0.43 t/ha. Rizoagrin was more effective than the biological products Baikal and Mizorin which ensured an increase of 0.3 and 0.1 t/ha, respectively. Rizoagrin authentically improved nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium nutrition of plants that promoted wheat grain upgrading (Bashkov, 2011).

The application of Elena biofungicide (*Pseudomonas aureofaciens* IB 51) helped to achieve a 6% increase in gluten quality in wheat grain, and turned out to be efficient against a complex of diseases of winter wheat, such as root rot, mildew, septoria spot and brown rust. During tests in Krasnodar, Volgograd and Voronezh regions and also in the Republic of Bashkortostan, it was established that the biological efficiency of biofungicide Elena was 30-50% when the infection backgrounds ranged from 10 to 20% (Kuzina et al., 2013).

Inoculation of seeds of spring-sown wheat with the biological product BP2 based on endophytic bacteria, cultivation of plants in the nitrogen-deficient environment and application of N45 increased the grain yield irrespective of weather conditions. That could possibly happen due to an increase in plant security in the conditions of a lack of nitrogen and rise of plant resistance to stress. Application of Ekstrasol to seeds of spring wheat followed by vegetation under the conditions of nitrogen-free background only promoted an increase in spring wheat productivity of 26% on the average. It has been demonstrated that the complex use of nitrogen fertilizer in a dose of 45 kg/ha and biological products of endophytic bacteria made it possible to increase grain efficiency of spring-sown wheat by 1.6-2.1 times. (Alferov et al., 2017).

Much attention, particularly while developing complex biological products, has been given in recent years to the group of rhizospheric bacteria, the so-called plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR). This group of bacteria is adapted to live in a rhizosphere of plants, and provide them with the best competitive conditions for growth and development, allowing the plants to overcome environmental stresses.

The Egyptian scientists tested the influence of a three-component PGPR-based biofertilizer on wheat yield. The biological product T11 provided an increase in grain and straw yield by 10.2 and 8.2%, respectively, in comparison with the control that received no treatment. The preparation T11 consisted of bacteria Azospirillum lipoferum (Beijerinck, 1925) Tarrand et al., 1979, Paenibacillus polymyxa (Prazmowski, 1880) Ash et al., 1994 and Nostoc muscorum. In addition to the noted increase in wheat yield, preparation considerably enhanced biological activity of soils in the rhizosphere of wheat (El-Gamal Manal et al., 2015).

The work on the use of lactic bacteria as bacterial fertilizers is of particular interest. D.R. Yarullina with co-authors (2014) showed that *Lactobacillus plantarum* (Orla-Jensen 1919) Bergey et al. 1923 can level an oxidizing stress thanks to positive impact of bacterial NO (nitrogen oxide) on integrated antioxidant capacity and activity of a catalase (Yarullina et al., 2014).

An interesting solution was proposed by researchers from the Russian Federation, who used natural microbial cenosis from koumiss for developing a biological product Microbiovit. The microbiocenosis promoted an increase in yield capacity of wheat, vegetables and potatoes by 26–56%. The maturation period of wheat reduced by 9 days. The treatment of potato tubers with Microbiovit increased their resistance to rot agents, which in turn increased the product shelf-life. Bacteria from the genera *Lactobacillus, Streptococcus, Rhodopseudomonas, Bacillus* and the yeasts belonging to *Kluyveromyces, Saccharomyces, Torulopsis* dominated in the considered bioproduct. The authors emphasize that one of the advantages of the preparation is the ease of cultivation of this biological community and its stability over time, in comparison with artificial compositions (Somova et al., 2017).

Other interesting ways to develop biological products for crop production are to use biologically active bacterial compounds to combat phytopathogens and to improve symbiotic relations between bacteria and plants.

Thus it was shown that biological surfactants produced by bacteria from the genus *Rhodococcus* and other bacteria were capable of suppressing viral infections of potatoes. Those surfactants were proposed as a means of improving crop health in monoclonal reproduction. For example, the preparation KP-2 suppressed potatoes X-virus reproduction. Microbial glycanes, antimetabolites (5-azadihydrouracils, cyanoguanidine) and biosurfactants were part of the preparation. KP-2 also had antineoplastic activity caused by the bacterium *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* [*Rhizobium radiobacter* (Beijerinck and van Delden, 1902) Young et al. 2001] (Kovalenko, Karpenko, 2010 unpublished).

T.P. Pirog with co-authors (2013) showed the possibility of other uses of biosuractants produced by the bacteria *Rhodococcus erythropolis* (Gray and Thornton, 1928) Goodfellow and Alderson, 1979, *Acinetobacter coaceticus* and *Nocardia vaccinii*, for instance, to monitor the amount of phytopathogenic bacteria. In the presence of surfactants, the growth of phytopathogenic bacteria of the genera *Pseudomonas* and *Xantomonas* was almost completely suppressed (Pirog et al., 2013).

L.M. Babenko with co-authors (2017) used signaling molecules of quorum sensing bacterial cells to stimulate the growth of wheat. Autoinducer N-acyl homoserine lactone activated rhizophronic microflora, which positively affected wheat biomass and grain yield as a result (Babenko et al., 2017).

Recently, scientists have focused on the development of elicitor preparations. Many bacterial fertilizers have elicitor effects, increasing resistance of plants to adverse factors of soil environment and phytopathogens. A number of metabolites and signalling molecules of microorganisms can cause plant immunity and resistance to environmental stresses (temperature, salinity, contamination with heavy metal ions) (Gorovoj et al., 2002; Grineva et al., 2017).

Thus, the analysis of literature showed a variety of approaches in the development of biological products for the increase in productivity of crops and their quality. Bioproducts with fertilizer, elicitor and biopesticide effects are increasingly gaining market share and bringing organic agriculture closer to ideal by reducing the chemical load on the soil. The most promising biological products at the moment are elicitor preparations that increase the immunity of plants and their resistance to adverse environmental factors.

References/Литература

- Alferov A.A., Chernova L.S., Zavalin A.A., Chebotar V.K. The effectiveness of the use of endophytic biological products and nitrogen fertilizer (Effektivnost primeneniya endofitnykh biopreparatov i azotnogo udobreniya). Vestnik Rossijskoy selskohozyaistvennoy nauki = Bulletin of the Russian Agricultural Science. 2017;5:21-24. [In Russian] (Алферов А.А., Чернова Л.С., Завалин А.А., Чеботарь В.К. Эффективность применения эндофитных биопрепаратов и азотного удобрения. Вестник Российской сельскохозяйственной науки. 2017;5:21-24).
- Andreeva O.A., Kozhevin P.A. Optimization of the natural community of soil microorganisms as a way to create microbial fertilizers (Optimizaciya estestvennogo soobshchestva mikroorganizmov pochvy kak sposob sozdaniya mikrobnykh udobreniy). Vestnik Moskovskogo Universiteta = Bulletin of Moscow University. Series 17. Soil science. 2014;4:42-45 [In Russian] (Андреева О.А., Кожевин П.А. Оптимизация естественного сообщества микроорганизмов почвы как способ создания микробных удобрений. Вестник Московского Университета. Сер. 17. Почвоведение. 2014;4:42-45).
- Babenko L.M., Moshinets E.V., Rogalsky S.P., Shcherbatyuk N.N., Suslova O.S., Kosakovskaya I.V. The influence of presowing priming with n-hexanoyl-1-homoserinlactone on the formation of rhizospheric microflora and the yield structure of *Triticum aestivum* L. (Vliyanie predposevnogo praimirovaniya n-geksanoil-1-gomoserinlaktonom na formirovanie rizosfernoy mikroflory i strukturu urozhainosti *Triticum aestivum* L.). Visnik Harkivs'kogo nacionalnogo agrarnogo universitetu Seriya biologiya = Bulletin of Kharkiv National Agrarian University. Series Biology

- 2017;1(40):106-118. [In Russian] (Бабенко Л.М., Мошинец Е.В., Рогальский С.П., Щербатюк Н.Н., Суслова О.С., Косаковская И.В. Влияние предпосевного праймирования п-гексаноил-1-гомосеринлактоном на формирование ризосферной микрофлоры и структуру урожайности *Triticum aestivum* L. Вісник Харківського національного аграрного університету. Серія біологія. 2017;1(40):106-118).
- Bashkov A.S. Influence of Rizoagrin and other biopreparations on yield and quality of spring wheat products (Vliyanie rizoagrina i drugih biopreparatov na urozhainost i kachestvo produktsii yarovoy pshenitsy). In: Proceedings of the All-Russian Scientific and Practical Conference «Scientific support for the development of the agricultural sector in modern conditions»; 2011 February 15-18; Izhevsk, Russia. Izhevsk; 2011. Vol. 1. р.3-9. [in Russian] (Башков А.С. Влияние ризоагрина и других биопрепаратов на урожайность и качество продукции яровой пшеницы. В кн.: Труды Всероссийской научно-практической конференции «Научное обеспечение развития АПК в современных условиях»; 15-18 февраля 2011 г.; Ижевск, Россия. Ижевск; 2011. Т. 1. С.3-9). URL: https://ekosspb.ru/publications/26 [дата обращения: 13.11.2019].
- Chang C.H., Yang S.S. Thermo-tolerant phosphate-solubilizing microbes for multi-functional biofertilizer preparation. *Bioresource Technology*. 2009;100(4):1648-1658. DOI: 10.1016/j. biortech.2008.09.009
- Chekmareva L.I., Nesterova N.K. The effectiveness of the use of humate and biological products of rhizoagrin and flavobacterin on winter wheat (Effektivnost primeneniya gumata i biopreparatov rizoagrina i flavobakterina na ozimoy pshenitse). Agrarnyi nauchnyi zhurnal = Agrarian Scientific Journal 2018;4:38-40. [In Russian] (Чекмарева Л.И., Нестерова Н.К. Эффективность применения гумата и биопрепаратов ризоагрина и флавобактерина на озимой пшенице. Аграрный научный жсурнал. 2018;4:38-40). DOI: 10.28983/asj.v0i4.449
- Development of Organic Agriculture in Kazakhstan (Razvitie organicheskogo selskogo hozyaistva v Kazakhstane). Coalition for «green» economy and development «G-Global»: [website]. Kazakhstan. [In Russian] (Развитие органического сельского хозяйства в Казахстане. Коалиция за «зеленую» экономику и развитие «G-Global»: [сайт]. Казахстан. URL: https://greenkaz.org/index.php/press-centr/novosti-v-strane/item/1987-razvitie-organicheskogo-selskogo-khozyajstva-v-kazakhstane) Дата публикации: 12 марта 2018 г.
- El-Gamal Manal A.H., Abo-Kora Hanaa A., Massoud O.N. Impact of formulated *Azospirillum lipoferum*, *Bacillus polymyxa* and *Nostoc muscorum* on wheat productivity. *International Journal of ChemTech Research*. 2015;8(9):100-113.
- Gorovoj L.F., Koshevskij I.I., Red'ko V.V., Teslyuk V.V. New generation plant protection products (Preparaty novogo pokoleniya dlya zashchity rasteniy). Sbornik trudov NAS Ukrainy = Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine;2002. p.87-92 [In Russian] (Горовой Л.Ф., Кошевский И.И., Редько В.В., Теслюк В.В. Препараты нового поколения для зашиты растений. Сборник трудов НАН Украины; 2002. С.87-92).
- Grineva I.A., Kuleshova Yu.M., Lomonosova V.A., Maslak D.V., Sadovskaya L.E., Skakun T.L., Feklistova I.N., Maksimova N.P. Preservation of the ability to induce systemic stability in the elicitor biological product during storage (Sokhranenie v processe hraneniya u elisitornogo biopreparata sposobnosti indutsirovat sistemnuyu ustoichivost). Zhurnal Belorusskogo gosudarstvennogo universiteta. Biologiya = Journal of Belarusian State University. Biology. 2017;3:63-67. [In Russia] (Гринева И.А., Кулешова Ю.М., Ломоносова В.А., Маслак Д.В., Садовская Л.Е., Скакун Т.Л., Феклистова И.Н., Максимова Н.П. Сохранение в процессе хранения у элиситорного биопрепарата способности индуцировать системную устойчивость. Журнал Белорусского государственного университета. Биология. 2017;3:63-67).
- Higa T., Parr J.F. Beneficial and effective microorganisms for a sustainable agriculture and environment. Atami, Japan: International Nature Farming Research Center; 1994.
- Krasilnikov N.A., Korenyako A.I. The influence of soil microflora on the virulence and activity of nodule bacteria (Vliyaniye pochvennoy mikroflory na virulentnost i aktivnost klubenkovykh bakteriy). Mikrobiologija = Microbiology. 1944;13(1):39-44. [In Russian] (Красильников Н.А., Кореняко А.И. Влияние почвенной

- микрофлоры на вирулентность и активность клубеньковых бактерий. *Микробиология*. 1944;13(1):39-44).
- Kuzina E.V., Leont'eva T.N., Loginov O.N. Effect of biological products on the productivity and quality of winter wheat (Vliyanie biopreparatov na produktivnost i kachestvo zerna ozimoy pshenitsy). Izvestiya Samarskogo nauchnogo centra Rossijskoj akademii nauk = Bulletin of the Samara Scientific Center of the Russian Academy of Sciences. 2013;15(3(5)):1649-1652 [In Russian] (Кузина Е.В., Леонтьева Т.Н., Логинов О.Н. Влияние биопрепаратов на продуктивность и качество зерна озимой пшеницы. Известия Самарского научного центра Российской академии наук. 2013;15(3(5)):1649-1652).
- Monastyrsky O.A., Kuznecova E.V., Esipenko L.P. Organic Farming and Production of Eco-Friendly Foodstuffs in Russia (Organicheskoye zemledelie i poluchenie ekologichnykh pishchevykh produktov v Rossii). Agrohimiya = Agrochemistry. 2019;1:3-4. [In Russian] (Монастырский О.А., Кузнецова Е.В., Есипенко Л.П. Органическое земледелие и получение экологичных пищевых продуктов в России. Агрохимия. 2019;1:3-4). DOI: 10.1134/S000218811901006X
- Patent IAP 02430. Complex microbiological fertilizer and the way of its acquisition. Abduazizov M.N., Baybaev B. Uzb.; June 30, 2004.
- Patent US5591634. Microbiological method for disposing of organic waste materals. Higa T.; Jan. 7, 1997.
- Pirog T.P., Konon A.D., Sofilkanich A.P., Iutinskaya G.A. Effect of surface-active substances of Acinetobacter calcoaceticus IMV B-7241, Rhodococcus erythropolis IMV Ac-5017, and Nocardia vaccinii K-8 on phytopathogenic bacteria. Pikladnaya biokhimiya i mikrobiologiya = Applied Biochemistry and Microbiology. 2013;49(4):360-367. [In Russian] (Пирог Т.П., Конон А.Д., Софилканич А.П., Иутинская Г.А. Действие поверхностно-активных вещеть Acinetobacter calcoaceticus ИМВ И-7241, Rhodococcus erythropolis ИМВ Ac-5017 и Nocardia vaccinii K-8 на фитопатогенные бактерии. Прикладная биохимия и микробиология. 2013;49(4):364-371). DOI: 10.7868/S0555109913040119
- Sadanov A.K., Kurmanbaev A.A. Ecological biotechnology in the biologization of agriculture (Ekologicheskaya biotekhnologiya v biologizacii zemledeliya). Almaty: Agrouniversitet = Almaty: Agricultural University; 1999. [In Russian] (Саданов А.К., Курманбаев А.А. Экологическая биотехнология в биологизации земледелия. Алматы: Агроуниверситет; 1999).
- Schütz L., Gattinger A., Meier M., Müller A., Boller T., Mäder P., Mathimaran N. Improving crop yield and nutrient use efficien-

- cy via biofertilization a global meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 2018;8(2204):1-13. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2017.02204
- Shchedrin V.N. Land reclamation as a basis for sustainable development of the agroindustruial complex of Russia (Melioraciya zemel osnova ustoichivogo razvitiya APK Rossii). Don Agrarian Science Bulletin. 2010;3:98-108. [In Russian] (Щедрин В.Н. Мелиорация земель основа устойчивого развития АПК России. Вестник аграрной науки Дона. 2010;3:98-106).
- Shvidchenko V.K., Zotikov V.I., Isenova A.K. Common spring wheat breeding in the north of Kazakhstan (Selektsiya yarovoy myagkoy pshenitsy na severe Kazakhstana). Astana: Akmolinsky agrarny universitet im. S.Seifullina = Astana: Akmola S. Seifullin Agrarian University; 1999. p.5-21 [In Russian] (Швидченко В.К., Зотиков В.И., Исенова А.К. Селекция яровой мягкой пшеницы на севере Казахстана. Астана: Акмолинский аграрный университет им. С. Сейфуллина; 1999. С.5-21).
- Somova L.A., Mikheeva G.A., Pechurkin N.S. Introduction of microbiocenosis in agroecosystem for increasing the plant productivity. *Journal of Siberian Federal University. Biology.* 2017;10(3):333-342 [In Russian] (Сомова Л.А., Михеева Г.А., Печуркин Н.С. Внедрение микробиоценоза в агроэкосистему для повышения продуктивности растений. *Журнал Сибирского федерального университема. Биология.* 2017;10(3):333-342).
- Tereshchenko N.N. Bacterial fertilizers: problems and prospects (Bakterialnye udobreniya: problemy i perspektivy). Siberian Bulletin of Agricultural Science. 2007;7(175):14-20 [In Russian] (Терещенко Н.Н. Бактериальные удобрения: проблемы и перспективы применения. Сибирский вестник сельскохозяйственной науки. 2007;7(175):14-20).
- The Law of the Republic of Kazakhstan. On Production of Organic Products: dated November 27, 2015 No. 423-V ZRK (Zakon respubliki Kazahstan O proizvodstve organicheskoj produkcii ot 27 nojabrja 2015 goda № 423-V ZRK) [In Russian] (Закон Республики Казахстан. О производстве органической продукции: от 27 ноября 2015 года № 423-V ЗРК. URL: https://online.zakon.kz/document/?doc id=37002307#pos=164;-49
- The Strategy of using irrigated lands in modern conditions. *Land reclamation and water management*. 2003;3:45-51.
- Yarullina D.R., Asafova E.V., Kartunova Yu.E., Ziyatdinova G.K., Ilinskaya O.N. Probiotics for plants: NO-producing lactobacilli protect plants from drought. Prikladnaya bioximiya i mikrobiologiya = Applied Biochemistry and Microbiology. 2014;50(2):189-192. DOI: 10.1134/S0003683814020197